

On a hidden dynamical SU(3)-symmetry in parasupersymmetric quantum mechanics

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 L853

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/26/17/017>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 19:30

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**On a hidden dynamical SU(3)-symmetry in
parasupersymmetric quantum mechanics**

J Beckers,† N Debergh†§ and A G Nikitin‡

† Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Institute of Physics, B. 5, University of Liège,
B-4000 Liege 1, Belgium

‡ Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences, Repin Street, 3, Kiev 4, Ukraine

Received 13 April 1993

Abstract. The superposition of bosons and $p=2$ -parafermions has led to the so-called parasupersymmetric quantum mechanics. We show that the structures subtending these developments contain a hidden dynamical SU(3)-symmetry effectively associated with the fundamental irreducible representation $\underline{3}$ (unitarily equivalent to the Gell–Mann one). The representation $\underline{3}^*$ is also visited. The generalization to arbitrary orders p of paraquantization is briefly discussed.

$N=2$ -parasupersymmetric quantum mechanics dealing with bosons and $p=2$ -parafermions [1, 2] has essentially been developed through two different approaches, respectively presented in the Rubakov–Spiridonov [3] and Beckers–Debergh [4] papers. Let us recall that N is the fixed number of charges leading to the corresponding Hamiltonian while p is the order of paraquantization.

Physically, these approaches are generalizations of the Witten supersymmetric model [5] concerned with bosons and ($p=1$)-fermions and they correspond to the *non-equivalent* Ξ - and Λ -types of three-level systems respectively [6].

Algebraically, the two (parasuper) structures subtending the above developments are fundamentally different: they lead to non-equivalent Hamiltonians included into sets of *trilinear* relations amongst the parasupercharges [7].

Here we want to point out that the two approaches are characterized by the same representation of the dynamical SU(3)-symmetry besides their typical non-equivalent parasuperhamiltonians.

In order to show the existence of such a hidden SU(3)-symmetry, let us restrict ourselves to oscillator-like interactions (for simplicity, but the arguments hold for arbitrary superpotentials $W_1(x)$ and $W_2(x)$). Moreover, let us decompose the two respective original parasupercharges Q and Q^\dagger into the four simplest *odd* charges that we call Q_k^\pm ($k=1, 2$) or q_k^\pm ($k=1, 2$) in the Rubakov–Spiridonov or Beckers–Debergh developments respectively. These building charges are simple odd 3 by 3 matrices containing only one annihilation (a) or creation (a^\dagger) bosonic operators. Moreover

§ Chercheur Institut Interuniversitaire des Sciences Nucléaires.

they are symmetries of (i.e. commute with) the corresponding Hamiltonian. They are given by the following explicit forms in the Rubakov–Spiridonov context [3]:

$$Q_1^+ = ae_{1,2} \quad Q_1^- = a^\dagger e_{2,1} \quad Q_2^+ = a^\dagger e_{3,2} \quad Q_2^- = ae_{2,3} \quad (1)$$

and they lead to the parasuperhamiltonian

$$H_{RS} = (aa^\dagger + \frac{1}{2})e_{1,1} + (a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2})e_{2,2} + (aa^\dagger - \frac{3}{2})e_{3,3} \quad (2)$$

where the $e_{j,k}$'s are evidently 3 by 3 matrices with all zero elements except those located at the intersection of the j th line and the k th column which are equal to unity. In the Beckers–Debergh context [4], we have the corresponding information in the forms

$$q_1^+ = ae_{1,2} \quad q_1^- = a^\dagger e_{2,1} \quad q_2^+ = ae_{3,2} \quad q_2^- = a^\dagger e_{2,3} \quad (3)$$

and

$$h_{BD} = aa^\dagger(e_{1,1} + e_{3,3}) + a^\dagger ae_{2,2}. \quad (4)$$

The characteristics [(1), (2)] and [(3), (4)] can now be compared in a non-trivial way. As a starting point, let us search for information on the algebraic structure generated by the matrices (3) and (4) for example. By defining the four new (even) operators

$$[q_k^+, q_k^-] = Z_k \quad (k=1, 2) \quad [q_1^+, q_2^-] = Z_3 \quad [q_1^-, q_2^+] = Z_4 \quad (5)$$

it is easy to get the non-zero commutation relations (without summation on repeated indices):

$$\begin{aligned} [Z_k, q_k^\pm] &= \pm 2h_{BD}q_k^\pm & [Z_k, q_j^\pm] &= \pm h_{BD}q_j^\pm & (k \neq j) \\ [Z_3, q_1^-] &= -h_{BD}q_2^- & [Z_3, q_2^+] &= h_{BD}q_1^+ \\ [Z_4, q_1^+] &= -h_{BD}q_2^+ & [Z_4, q_2^-] &= h_{BD}q_1^- \\ [Z_1, Z_3] &= [Z_3, Z_2] = h_{BD}Z_3 & [Z_2, Z_4] &= [Z_4, Z_1] = h_{BD}Z_4. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We are thus dealing, in (5) and (6), with eight operators $\{q_k^\pm, Z_\alpha (\alpha=1, 2, 3, 4)\}$ which commute with the parasuperhamiltonian h_{BD} . Such results immediately lead to the existence of dynamical symmetries [8], some of them leading to the explanation of specific degeneracies such as accidental ones. These symmetries generate a closed structure appearing as a simple Lie algebra if we restrict ourselves to subspaces of the original Hilbert space corresponding to eigenvalues E of the parasuperhamiltonian under study. By defining the new generators

$$q_k^{\pm'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} q_k^\pm \quad Z'_\alpha = \frac{1}{E} Z_\alpha \quad (7)$$

the structure relations (5) and (6) fall into the following categories of commutators

$$[Z', Z'] \approx Z' \quad [q', q'] \approx Z' \quad [Z', q'] \approx q' \quad (8)$$

characterizing those of the simple Lie algebra $su(3, \mathbb{C})$. In order to convince ourselves of this result, let us act with these operators on a basis of oscillator-like vectors which are such that as usual

$$a|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle \quad a^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle. \quad (9)$$

By dealing with the following linear combinations

$$F_1 = \frac{i}{2}(Z_3' + Z_4') \quad F_2 = \frac{1}{2}(Z_3' - Z_4') \quad F_3 = \frac{1}{2}(Z_1' - Z_2') \quad (10)$$

$$F_4 = \frac{1}{2}(q_1^{+'} + q_1^{-'}) \quad F_5 = -\frac{i}{2}(q_1^{+'} - q_1^{-'}) \quad F_6 = -\frac{i}{2}(q_2^{+'} - q_2^{-'})$$

$$F_7 = -\frac{1}{2}(q_2^{+'} + q_2^{-'}) \quad F_8 = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(Z_1' + Z_2') \quad (11)$$

we immediately recover the structure relations of the F-spin [9]. Here we stress the two diagonal operators F_3 and F_8 as well as the operators (10) which generate a particular $su(2, \mathbb{C})$ -subalgebra.

At this stage, it can be seen that we have in fact obtained a realization of the fundamental representation $\underline{3}$ of $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ which is unitarily equivalent through the following transformation

$$U = e_{1,1} + ie_{2,3} + e_{3,2} \quad U^\dagger = U^{-1} \quad (12)$$

to the one given by Gell-Mann [9].

Completely parallel developments can also be realized from the Rubakov-Spiridonov characteristics [(1), (2)] but leading in correspondence with (7) to the (eight) generators

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^{\pm'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{E - \frac{1}{2}}} Q_1^\pm & q_2^{\pm'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{E + \frac{1}{2}}} Q_2^\pm & Z_1' &= \frac{1}{E - \frac{1}{2}} [Q_1^+, Q_1^-] \\ Z_2' &= \frac{1}{E + \frac{1}{2}} [Q_2^+, Q_2^-] & Z_3' &= \frac{1}{E^2 - \frac{1}{4}} [Q_1^+, Q_2^-] & Z_4' &= \frac{1}{E^2 - \frac{1}{4}} [Q_1^-, Q_2^+] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Their commutation relations of the type (8) lead once again to a realization of the fundamental representation $\underline{3}$ of $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ as it can be verified.

Up to the specific forms of the parasuperhamiltonian H_{PSS} given by (2) or (4), we conclude that the same representation of the dynamical algebra $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ is present in both approaches of $N=2$ -parasupersymmetric quantum mechanics describing bosons and $p=2$ -parafermions. The complete structure generated by the nine operators is finally the direct sum

$$H_{\text{PSS}} \oplus su(3, \mathbb{C}) \quad (14)$$

in the fundamental (irreducible and unitary) representation $\underline{3}$.

Let us now end this letter with some comments.

First, we want to point out once again that the above $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ -symmetry could play an analogous role to the $so(4)$ -symmetry in the study of the hydrogen atom [8] where we remember that the time-independent Hamiltonian also commutes with all the other (six) operators, i.e. the orbital momentum and the Runge-Lenz vector. These examples are two typical applications requiring the determination of the symmetry Lie algebra for a Hamiltonian with accidental degeneracy [10]. Consequently, the four steps of the procedure proposed by Moshinsky *et al* [10] can be considered in the above parasupersymmetric context(s) in order to explain the (triple) degeneracies of the energy eigenvalues. In that way we can show that the above $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ -symmetry is, in fact, too large for explaining these degeneracies: one of its $su(2, \mathbb{C})$ -subalgebras [11]

is already sufficient. The ladder operators are nothing else than the *original* para-supercharges Q and Q^\dagger as previously understood [4], so that we get only one Z -operator in the line of (5): it is then easy to see that Q , Q^\dagger and Z generate a $su(2, \mathbb{C})$ -subalgebra which is effectively the symmetry algebra explaining the (triple) accidental degeneracies in the parasuperspectrum. Consequently, the above $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ -symmetry also enhances additional properties with respect to the minimal $su(2, \mathbb{C})$ -one. Let us end this first comment by noticing that the fourth step of the Moshinsky *et al* procedure [10] is always satisfied in our considerations: we effectively have that

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{2} J^2 \quad H^6 = \frac{81}{9400} (C_1^3 + C_2^2) \quad (15)$$

where J^2 is the usual Casimir operator of $su(2, \mathbb{C})$ while C_1 and C_2 are the Casimir operators of $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$C_1 = \sum_{i=1}^8 F_i^2 \quad C_2 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^8 d_{ijk} F_i F_j F_k \quad (16)$$

the d_{ijk} 's being the symmetric structure constants [9] of $su(3, \mathbb{C})$.

Secondly, we have to understand that the way of constructing the operators (5) is simply related to the reduction of trilinear relations into bilinear ones [11] in order to get quadratic Sklyanin algebras [12] from Lie parasuperalgebras [13]. Indeed, we notice that the algebra quoted in eqs. (6) is nothing else than a quadratic algebra equivalent to the Lie parasuperalgebra subtending our approach [4] of parasupersymmetric quantum mechanics.

Thirdly, due to the fact that our results are enhancing the fundamental representation $\underline{3}$ of $su(3, \mathbb{C})$, we can ask if it is not possible to exploit the other (non-equivalent) fundamental representation $\underline{3}^*$ of $su(3, \mathbb{C})$, in order to develop a new form of parasupersymmetric quantum mechanics. The answer is negative: it can be shown that the $\underline{3}$ - and $\underline{3}^*$ -characteristics are, in our developments, simply related to each other by the only interchange of the so-called type- Q^- ($\equiv q_1^- + q_2^*$) and type- P ($\equiv q_2^+ - q_1^-$) parasupercharges defined elsewhere [13]. Such an interchange does not modify the physical context.

Fourthly, we recall that parasupersymmetry has to include supersymmetry, so that we can also ask for dynamical symmetries in the $p=1$ -context. Here, the type P -supercharges do not exist in accordance with the fact that we get only two q 's and only one Z (cf. (3) and (5)) besides the superhamiltonian H_{ss} . The Lie algebra subtending the dynamical supersymmetries is thus $su(2, \mathbb{C})$, so that, in correspondence with the structure (14), we have here

$$H_{ss} \oplus su(2, \mathbb{C}). \quad (17)$$

Let us notice that $su(2, \mathbb{C})$ admits only one fundamental representation ($\underline{2} \equiv \underline{2}^*$) and that the three symmetries are dynamical ones entering in the understanding of the degeneracies of the superspectrum [5]. This Lie algebra $su(2, \mathbb{C})$ is precisely the one which is also necessary in the $p=2$ -context, where it appears as a subalgebra of $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ as discussed in the first comment.

Finally, let us conclude by mentioning that the above results can be extended to arbitrary orders p of paraquantization: the dynamical symmetry is then characterized by $[(p+1)^2 - 1]$ generators leading systematically to the simple Lie algebra

$su(p+1, \mathbb{C})$. In each p -context, the subalgebra $su(2, \mathbb{C}) \subset su(p+1, \mathbb{C})$ plays the main role as a part of the dynamical algebra explaining completely the degree $(p+1)$ of degeneracy of the energy eigenvalues contained in the associated parasuperspectrum. Such an argument is in complete agreement with the use of the $(p+1)$ -dimensional representations $D^{(p/2)}$ of $su(2, \mathbb{C})$ required by the parafermionic variables [2].

One of us (AGN) wants to thank the Belgian Interuniversity Institute for Nuclear Sciences (IISN) for financial support during his stay in Liège in December 1992.

References

- [1] Green H S 1953 *Phys. Rev.* **90** 270
Greenberg O W and Messiah A M L 1965 *Phys. Rev. B* **138** 1155
- [2] Ohnuki Y and Kamefuchi S 1982 *Quantum Field Theory and Parastatistics* (Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press)
- [3] Rubakov V A and Spiridonov V P 1988 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **3** 1337
- [4] Beckers J and Debergh N 1990 *Nucl. Phys. B* **340** 767
- [5] Witten E 1981 *Nucl. Phys. B* **188** 513
- [6] Yoo H I and Eberly J H 1985 *Phys. Rep.* **118** 241
Semenov V V and Chumakov S M 1991 *Phys. Lett.* **262B** 451
- [7] Beckers J and Debergh N 1991 *Lecture Notes in Physics* **382** (Berlin: Springer) 414
- [8] Greiner W and Müller B 1989 *Quantum Mechanics—Symmetries* (Berlin: Springer)
Shankar R 1980 *Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Plenum)
Baym G 1969 *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Benjamin)
- [9] Gell-Mann M and Ne'eman Y 1964 *The Eightfold Way, Frontiers in Physics* (New York: Benjamin)
- [10] Moshinsky M, Quesne C and Loyola G 1990 *Ann. Phys., NY* **198** 103
- [11] Beckers J and Debergh N 1992 *On Quantum Deformations of the Simplest Lie parasuperalgebra*
Preprint PTM-92/21, University of Liège
- [12] Sklyanin E K 1982 *Funkt. Anal. Pril.* **16** 27; 1983 *Funkt. Anal. Pril.* **16** 263; 1983 *Funkt. Anal. Pril.* **17** 34; 1984 *Funkt. Anal. Pril.* **17** 273
- [13] Beckers J and Debergh N 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** L751S, L1073